



**Jackson County Continuum of Care
Performance and Evaluation Workgroup Meeting
July 31, 2024**

Minutes by Devin Flores

In attendance:
Noah Werthaiser, Nancy Fadling, Lexi Bohn, Devin Flores, Cole Smith, Cheryl Hurd, Jamie Gatewood, Tina Stevens, Tanner South, Leigh Madison, Sean Fletcher
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes Would you like a spot on the upcoming agenda? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

- 1) **Call to Order:** Meeting was called to order by Noah Werthaiser at 1pm.

- 2) **Introductions**
 - a) A round of introductions was conducted. Attendees introduced themselves, stated their roles, and expressed their interest in the workgroup

- 3) **Coordinated Entry Logic Model:**
 - a) Noah Werthaiser brought discussion about logic models. Nancy had worked on a logic model prior to this meeting and wanted to discuss. As a group, the logic model was updated.
 - b) The identified problem, need, situation is that coordinated entry assessment and prioritization is ineffective to meet current community needs and needs reconfiguring.
 - c) The service and activities include reconfiguring the current prioritization tool, drafting new questions for assessments, and researching existing tools and their contexts.
 - d) The expected outcome is that our prioritization tool is adapted to identify the most vulnerable individuals and families.
 - e) The outcome identified is having specific underserved subpopulations receive housing at an equitable rate and consistency as their demographic percentage.
 - f) The measurement tools include Point-in-Time (PIT) count of all households experiencing homelessness (assuming a perfect PIT), a review of coordinated entry, the HMIS exit tool, and the possibility of combining PIT count with another tool together.
 - g) The data source identified was ACCESS, as lead agency on the PIT committee, HMIS for coordinated entry, and case workers with narrative on successes.
 - h) Frequency of data reporting listed as annually and/or monthly

- 4) **Rating and Ranking for Housing 360 Pilot Program**
 - a) Noah Werthaiser discussed the role of the Rating and Ranking Committee, to review grant applications.

- b) Noah Werthaiser briefed on the Housing 360 Pilot Program that would serve individuals who need help with substance abuse and/or behavioral health issues. This program would use a housing-first model.
- c) There can only be one project proposal submitted total within the CoC.
- d) The rating and ranking committee cannot be comprised of individuals who represent an organization that will be submitting a project to the rating and ranking committee.
- e) The group agreed that the Performance and Evaluation Workgroup would also act as the Rating and Ranking committee. The members who will participate in rating and ranking will alternate depending on the representations, to minimize conflicts of interest.
- f) The group agreed to a rating and ranking committee size of 5 people minimum.
- g) Noah Werthaiser shared a draft of a rating and ranking tool that will be shared for committee feedback on criteria and scoring.

5) **Next Steps:**

- a) Committee members to review the draft of the Housing 360 rating and ranking tool and provide feedback via email.
- b) Identify and recruit 5 committee members without conflicts of interest to conduct the Housing 360 proposal rating and ranking.
- c) Schedule rating and ranking meeting once proposals are received by the August 9th deadline.

6) **Adjournment:** adjourned the meeting at 2:05pm.

Next Meeting: **8/14/2024**